

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

2002 JAN 10 P 12: 2

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

Robert W. Geake (No. 009695) Vice President and General Counsel 3805 Black Canyon Highway Phoenix, Arizona 85015-5351

ARIZONA WATER COMPANY

Telephone: (602) 240-6860

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

FENNEMORE CRAIG A Professional Corporation Norman D. James (No. 006901) Jay L. Shapiro (No. 014650) 3003 North Central Avenue

Suite 2600

Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913 Telephone: (602) 916-5000

Attorneys for Arizona Water Company

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JAN 1 0 2002

DOCKETED BY

10

11

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

12

13

14

15

16

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR ADJUSTMENTS TO ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE FURNISHED BY ITS NORTHERN GROUP AND FOR CERTAIN RELATED APPROVALS.

Docket No. W-01445A-00-0962

NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED ARSENIC COST RECOVERY **PROCEDURE**

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

Arizona Water Company hereby files its proposed procedure for the recovery of the additional capital costs and operating expenses resulting from the construction of arsenic treatment facilities needed to comply with the new maximum contaminant level ("MCL") for arsenic. The Company's proposed cost recovery procedure was provided to representatives of the Arizona Corporation Commission's Utilities Division and the Residential Utility Consumer Office for review and discussion on November 16, 2001. In accordance with the Administrative Law Judge's procedural order, dated October 12, 2001, the parties will continue to meet and confer concerning this issue.

24

25

26

FENNEMORE CRAIG PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
PHOENIX

DATED this 9th day of January, 2002.

| 1 | DATED this 7 day of January, 2002. |
|----|---|
| 2 | FENNEMORE CRAIG |
| 3 | Dame D. Dune |
| 4 | By Norman D. James Norman D. James |
| 5 | Jay L. Shapiro 3003 North Central Avenue |
| 6 | Suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012 |
| 7 | Attorneys for Applicant Arizona Water Company |
| 8 | An original and 10 copies of the |
| 9 | foregoing and attached documents were delivered this 10th day of |
| 10 | January, 2002 to: |
| 11 | Docketing Supervisor Docket Control |
| 12 | Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington |
| 13 | Phoenix, AZ 85007 |
| 14 | A copy of the foregoing and attached documents |
| 15 | were delivered/mailed* this 10th day of |
| 16 | January, 2002 to: |
| 17 | Dwight Nodes |
| | Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division |
| 18 | Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington |
| 19 | Phoenix, AZ 85007 |
| 20 | Christopher C. Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division |
| 21 | Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington |
| 22 | Phoenix, AZ 85007 |
| 23 | Daniel W. Pozefsky, Attorney* Residential Utility Consumer Office |
| 24 | 2828 N. Central Ave., Suite 1200 |
| 25 | Phoenix, AZ 85004 |
| 26 | By: |

FENNEMORE CRAIG PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION PHOENIX

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Utilities Division

STANDARD PROCEDURE FOR PROCESSING ARSENIC TREATMENT FACILITY ADVICE LETTER FILINGS

STANDARD PROCEDURES FOR PROCESSING ARSENIC TREATMENT FACILITY ADVICE LETTER FILINGS

Table of Contents

| <u>A - INTRODUCTION</u> | |
|---|--|
| B - PROPOSALS | |
| C - ADVICE LETTERS | |
| D - ORDERS | |
| E - ADVICE LETTER APPROVAL | |
| F - WITHDRAWAL, REJECTION, AND SUSPENSION OF ATFALs | |

A - INTRODUCTION

- 1. The purpose of these procedures is to provide utilities with directions for filing an arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letters (ATFAL) and Utilities Division personnel with a detailed description of the steps required to process ATFALs and create and process recommended ATFAL Orders. An ATFAL is a filing by a utility requesting Commission authority to increase or decrease existing tariffs. These standard procedures include information explaining how to:
 - a. Prepare and file a proposed ATFAL
 - b. Review the submissions for compliance
 - c. File the final ATFAL
 - d. Evaluate the ATFAL
 - e. Accept, suspend or reject the ATFAL
 - Prepare a proposed Order
 - g. File and maintain tariffs
- 2. These standard procedures apply to all regulated water utilities that are required to install arsenic treatment facilities to meet applicable drinking water standards for arsenic, and to Utilities Division Staff who are assigned to process ATFALs or write recommended ATFAL orders

- 3. The purpose of these procedures is to ensure a uniform and complete method of processing ATFALs in an expedited manner to enable regulated water utilities to install and operate the arsenic treatment facilities required to meet the new arsenic standard in a timely fashion. The procedures includes proposed and final ATFALs and an Arsenic Treatment Facility Balancing Account (ATFBA). All regulated water utilities and the Commission Staff shall use these procedures unless the utility elects to process a general rate case filing.
- ATFAL A form letter accompanied by standardized work papers and a Notice to Customers submitted by a utility to the Commission Staff. The purpose of the ATFAL is to document the need for an incremental rate change (increase or decrease) based on the actual in-service cost of constructing and operating new arsenic treatment facilities or the actual under (over) collection in the ATFBA after the utility determines that certain triggering conditions or criteria have been met.

ATFBA – A special, non-general ledger account authorized by the Commission and maintained by the utility. The purpose of the ATFBA is to accumulate specific expenses and revenues that are charged or credited to various water utility accounts in the general ledger. The ATFBA tracks the amount of specific costs that have been incurred to operate arsenic treatment facilities which were not included in the utility's last general rate case and the amount of specific revenue changes resulting from the operation of an authorized ATFAL. The average debit or credit balance of the account for each month accrues interest at the 90-day commercial paper rate.

B-PROPOSALS

- 4. A proposal is a request from the utility for Commission staff to review a proposed AFTAL before the utility submits it for filing. In form, a proposal is identical to a final ATFAL except:
 - a. no ATFAL number is assigned,
 - b. only two copies are required,
 - c. the term "Proposed" is checked on the Standard ATFAL
 - d. no customer notice is given, and
 - e. the proposed ATFAL is not served on the service list.

All ATFALs shall initially be submitted as proposals.

5. Proposed ATFALs are processed identically to final ATFALs (see C below). Disposition is by a faxed version of the multipurpose Acknowledgement Form to the utility from the Chief, Accounting and Rates or designee. Depending on the staff evaluation, the form may request additional information or suggest changes for the final ATFAL. If the proposed filing is not satisfactory the Company may submit a new proposed filing when the stated reasons for disapproval have been addressed. Once the proposed ATFAL is satisfactory the utility will be instructed to notice its affected customers and submit a final ATFAL to the Director of Utilities. The fax doesn't obligate the Commission or Staff as to whether the resulting final ATFAL will be approved or rejected.

C-ADVICE LETTERS

6. An ATFAL may be filed when a new arsenic treatment facility is completed and placed in service or if ATFBA has an under (over) collection that equals or exceeds 2% of the most recent 12 months of Operating Revenue for the affected system.

a. New Arsenic Treatment Facility Placed In Service

After a new arsenic treatment facility has been placed in service the utility may file a proposed ATFAL with the Director of Utilities. The proposed ATFAL will set forth the completed construction cost of the new facility, the estimated annual incremental operating costs as well as other supporting data and schedules set forth in the Standard Workpaper requirements listed at 7b. Staff will acknowledge receipt of the utility's proposed ATFAL by faxing a copy of the multipurpose Acknowledgement Form and review the filing for sufficiency, accuracy and conformity with these procedures. Staff may request additional information from the utility or suggest revisions or corrections to the schedules as necessary. Staff will approve or reject the filing within 30 days.

b. ATFBA

Each utility that places an arsenic treatment facility in service designed to meet the new arsenic standard after December 31, 2001 shall establish an ATFBA. In addition to recording all of its capital costs and operating revenues and expenses in accordance with the uniform System Of Accounts, the utility shall also record the incremental arsenic treatment facility operating costs, return requirement and a month end interest charge or credit in the ATFBA. Each utility that has received an incremental rate increase as a result of an ATFAL shall record the ATFAL revenue in both the appropriate general ledger accounts and the ATFBA and monitor the monthly balance of the account. After the ATFBA has been in effect for 6 months, if there is an under (over) collection that equals or exceeds 2% of the utility's most recent 12 months of Operating Revenue for the affected system, the utility shall file a proposed ATFAL, which shall include a copy of the monthly entries and month end balances of the ATFBA for the period since it was established, along with the applicable Standard work papers.

- 7. The utility submits two copies of the proposed ATFAL and two copies of the required Standard work papers to the Director of the Utilities Division in Phoenix. The water utility shall:
 - a. Provide two folders with the filing with a label in white that looks like the following:

| Company Name | ATFAL# | |
|----------------|--------|--|
| Type of filing | | |

b. The two folders should be fully assembled with the proposed ATFALs and Standard Workpapers as follows:

On the left outside front attach the ATFAL. On the inside left side of the folder, attach a complete copy of the generic decision or specific order, if any, that authorizes the filing, with the applicable ordering paragraph highlighted and flagged. On the right side of the folder attach a copy of the notice proposed or used, followed by

the Standard Workpapers in the following order with each work paper sheet consecutively numbered:

- (1) Index Of Exhibits listing all the sheets by title and sheet number,
- (2) Summary Calculation Of Requested Incremental Revenue Increase or Decrease,
- (3) Summary Calculation Of Adjusted Rate Base,
- (4) Detail Of Arsenic Treatment Facilities By Plant Account,
- (5) Summary Calculation Of Incremental Cost Of Service,
- (6) Calculation Of Fixed And Variable Arsenic Treatment Facility Charges,
- (7) Revised Tariff Sheets with Arsenic Treatment Facility Charges.
- c. Utilities may also submit electronically by sending the submittal to utilities_division@cc.az.state.us or by facsimile to (602) 542-????. If requested utilities shall follow up the electronic filing with the hard-copy filings described above.
- 8. The receiving Staff person stamps the date and time of receipt of each ATFAL submittal and reviews the filing package for sufficiency:
 - a. Conformance with these procedures, including format and completeness of contents.
 - b. Proper tariff sheet numbering.
 - c. Adequate notice. The final ATFAL will not be accepted for filing until the notice has been published or the last bill insert notice has been mailed.
 - d. One copy of the final ATFAL will be stamped with the date filed and faxed to the utility. A stamped copy will be mailed to the utility.

- 9. If the ATFAL materials are not sufficient for filing, the receiving Staff person informs the Chief, Accounting & Rates and requests changes/additions from the utility. After being accepted as sufficient for filing, the receiving Staff person does the following:
 - a. Enters a record of the filing in the ATFAL docket filing system.
 - b. Enters any required data in an ATFAL database (if such system is established).
 - c. Posts a notice in the daily calendar that the ATFAL has been filed.
 - d. Faxes and mails a copy of the ATFAL to the utility stamped with the date filed.
- 10. The receiving Staff person prepares the ATFAL for review by doing the following:
 - a. Fills out the lower Sufficiency Comments Section of the ATFAL, noting the review comments as directed on the form.
 - b. Routes the folder with Sufficiency Comments to the Chief, Accounting & Rates, who assigns the filing to an analyst and informs Docketing of the analyst assignment.
 - c. Files the second folder in the "pending" file of active ATFALs alphabetically by company.
- 11. Every ATFAL must be reviewed by a Staff analyst. Such review includes comparison of the request with the Commission decision or order authorizing the ATFAL; the correctness of filed rates, sufficiency and correctness of work papers and attachments; conformance with Commission policy and procedures; and consideration of public responses. A Staff Report and Recommended Order will be prepared for each ATFAL reviewed.
 - a. If the ATFAL does not have to be placed on the Open Meeting Agenda because the Commission has already made clear what the standards of review should be, the analyst forwards the Staff Report and Recommended Order to the Director Of Utilities through the Chief, Accounting and Rates for action.
 - b. If the ATFAL requires Commissioner review, the analyst forwards the Staff Report and Recommended Order to the Director Of Utilities through the Chief, Accounting and Rates for placement on the Open Meeting Agenda.

- 12. If additional time is required to properly review an ATFAL because the ATFAL is incomplete, does not include sufficient information to determine the impact of the ATFAL on rates, or the complexity of the ATFAL (and supporting information) requires more time for analysis, the analyst may, with the approval of the Chief, Accounting and Rates fax a multipurpose Acknowledgement Form to the utility, prior to the 30 day deadline, explaining the need for delay and extending the time for processing from 30 days to up to 120 days, and to Docketing, which will notice the delay on the Commission's Daily Filing Calendar. The analyst must include: 1) the new due date and 2) the grounds for the extension.
- 13. If an arsenic ATFAL requires a decision by the Commissioners at an Open Meeting, it should occur within 150 days of filing, but if disposition due to unusual circumstances will take longer, the analyst must submit a Staff Report explaining the request to the Director of Utilities, who will either deny the request or place it on the agenda for the next Open Meeting.

D-ORDERS

- 14. Orders are required for all approved ATFALs. The Commissioners may establish standards of review and delegate the approval of certain types of ATFALs under specified conditions to the Director of Utilities.
- 15. The Staff analyst drafts the Order following the Commission's general procedures. The contents of the Order depends on the situation and what the ATFAL is requesting, but there are certain elements that all Orders must contain:
 - 1. Title a description of what the Order does. It starts with the Order number, the full name of the utility, and the abbreviation of the name, in parentheses, that will be used in the Order.
 - 2. Summary a one or two paragraph description of what the Order recommends. Finish with a description of the number or service connections and the location of the service area affected.
 - 3. Background the events that occurred in the past that led to the filing of the ATFAL and a description of what the ATFAL is requesting.

- 4. Discussion a logical and complete evaluation of the merits of each part of the ATFAL and whether and why each part should be approved, modified or rejected. If a request is to be approved, describe what the utility needs to do.
- 5. Notice a description of how the ATFAL was noticed and whether any public comments were received and how they were handled.
- 6. Findings and Conclusions facts that pertain to the situation and results of the discussion for each issue.
- 7. Ordering Paragraphs actions that the utility is allowed to or is ordered to take, along with timeframes and deadlines. The final ordering paragraph is the effective date of the Order. Normally an Order will be effective the first of the month following approval.
- 16. The final Order should be mailed to the service list of the ATFAL and, if the Order modifies actions taken by another Order or decision, the service list of that proceeding or Order.

E - ADVICE LETTER APPROVAL

- 17. After the ATFAL is approved, the Tariff Unit does the following:
 - a. Stamps all tariff sheets with the date filed and date effective, places the tariff sheets in the tariff book.
 - b. Puts the original copy of the ATFAL in the company's ATFAL file.
 - c. Prepares a transmittal letter and sends it to the utility, along with the Order and a complete copy of the ATFAL with all tariff sheets stamped with the filed date, effective date and Order number.
 - d. Retrieves thew work file folder from the analyst (if necessary) and puts it in the work file filing cabinet alphabetically by company. Work files are kept until the next general rate case.

F - WITHDRAWAL, REJECTION, AND SUSPENSION OF ATFALS

- 18. The utility may withdraw a filed ATFAL, by informing the Director of Utilities by letter, fax or e-mail prior to the effective date.
- 19. If the Staff analyst determines that an ATFAL should be rejected, a copy of the multipurpose Acknowledgement Form is prepared, the appropriate box is checked and the reason for rejection is explained. The Chief, Accounting & Rates will review the rejection explanation and if he agrees he is to initial the form and have it faxed to the utility with the explanation that the rejection can be appealed within ten days to the Director of the Utilities Division. If appealed, the effective date will be determined by the Director of the Utilities Division. If not appealed, the effective date will be the day after the last date for appeal.
- 20. The legal effect of withdrawal, rejection or suspension is to leave the existing tariff schedules in effect. If the ATFAL is withdrawn or rejected, the Tariff Unit stamps the face of the ATFAL and each tariff sheet "Withdrawn" or "Rejected" and the date, and returns a copy of the ATFAL to the utility with a letter explaining the reasons for the action.
- 21. The Tariff Unit retains the original copy of the withdrawn or rejected tariff sheets in the file of cancelled tariffs. The ATFAL number and tariff sheet numbers are not reused. Any revised tariff sheets, submitted by a subsequent ATFAL should show the cancellation of the then-effective tariff sheets, not the sheet number of the rejected or withdrawn sheet, since the latter never became effective and therefore cannot be cancelled.

Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter Index Of Exhibits

| | | Page |
|---|--|------|
| 1 | Summary Calculation Of Requested Revenue Increase or Decrease | 1. |
| 2 | Summary Calculation Of Adjusted Rate Base | 2 |
| 3 | Detail Of Arsenic Treatment Facilites By Plant Account | 3 |
| 4 | Summary Calculation Of Incremental Cost Of Service | 4 |
| 5 | Calculation Of Fixed And Variable Arsenic Treatment Facility Charges | 5 |
| 6 | Revised Tariff With ATFAL Charges | 7 |
| 7 | Arsenic Treatment Facility Balancing Account Illustration | 8 |
| 8 | Acknowledgement Form | 9 |
| 9 | Arsenic Advice Letter | 10 |

Exhibits 1 - 6 Are Proposed Standard Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter Filing Requirements

Summary Calculation Of Requested Increase Or Decrease Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter - ATFAL #1 Filed October 5, 2001

Company/System Arizona Water Company-Rimrock System Last Rate Decision 61520

12/20/00 Dated

Test Year

| Percent Increase 43.1% | | 45.5% | 36.2% | 36.2% | %0.0 % | |
|---|--|---|----------------------|-------------|----------------|---|
| Proposed 669,935 | | 505,858 | 164,077 | 1,412,021 | 11.62% | 669,935 |
| ₩ | | ₩. | ₩ | € | | , ⇔ - : - : |
| Required Increase 201,841 | | 79,532 79,532 | 122,309 | | | 201,841 - 201,841 79,532 |
| æ = _∞ | | မာ မာ | ₩. | | | 66 66 66 |
| Adjusted \$ 468,094 | | \$ 426,326 | \$ 41,768 | \$1,412,021 | 2.96% | \$ 468,094 |
| Adjustment | 49,750 52,934 102,684 9,969 17,293 | (51,203) 78,743 | (78,743) | 374,920 | | - 129,946 (129,946) (51,203) |
| Adj | ө ө ө ө ө | မာမ | ⇔ | ь | | ↔ ↔ ↔ |
| Adopted Per Decision # 61520 \$ 468,094 | | 347,583 | 120,511 | 1,037,101 | 11.62% | 468,094 |
| Per & | | 38 \$ | ₩. | € | | \$ |
| Operating Revenues | O&M Treatment O&M Disposal Operating Expenses Depreciation Expense Other Taxes | Income Taxes @ 39.40338 Total Expenses | Net Operating Income | Rate Base | Rate of Return | Income Tax Calculation Revenue Operating Expenses Taxable Income Income Taxes @ 39.40338% |

Page 2 Of 10

Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter - ATFAL #1 Summary Calculation Of Adjusted Rate Base Filed October 5, 2001

| Adjusted Rate Base | c = (a+b) 2,241,778 1,075 | (592,723) | 1,650,130 | (39,877) | (165,878) 28,090 | (177,993) | 25,521 46,136 889 | 1,412,021 |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Arsenic Treatment Facilities Well #2 | b 384,889 P3,L31,c | (9,969) P3,L31,e | 374,920 | | | | | 374,920 |
| Rimrock System Adopted Per Decision # 61520 | a 1,856,889 1,075 | (582,754) | 1,275,210 | (39,877) | (165,878) 28,090 | (177,665) | 25,521 46,136 889 | 1,037,101 |
| Arizona Water Company. n N 61520 12/20/00 1999 | Gross Utility Plant In Service Construction Work In Progress | less: Accumulated Depreciation | Net Utility Plant | less: Customer Advances For Constructio | Contributions In Aid Of Construction Gross Accumulated Amortization | Net Contributions & Advances | Deferred Income Taxes add: Allowance For Working Capital Phoenix Office Allocation Meter Shop Allocation | Total Rate Base |
| Company/System Last Rate Decisio Dated Test Year | - 4 | က် | 4 | ហ | ø ⊬ ø | တ် | 6 1.24 | 5 4 |

Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter - ATFAL #1 Detail Of Arsenic Treatment Facilites By Plant Account Filed October 5, 2001

Arizona Water Company
Rimrock System Well #2 Treatment Facility
Project ID 21035
Start Date 09/16/2001
In Service Date

| | 1 | | In Service | Depreciation Expense | Expense |
|--------------|-------|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| . | Acct | | Cost | Rate | Expense |
| S | No. | Description | 1600 | | |
| | rol | a a | O | - | ø |
| - | 301 | Organization | | | |
| ر د | 302 | Franchises | | | |
| က် | 303 | Other Intangibles | | | |
| 4 | 310.1 | Water Rights | | | |
| ıc. | 310.3 | Other Source of Supply Land | | • | |
| ý | 314 | Wells | | - | |
| 7. | 320 | Pumping Plant Land | | | |
| ထ | 321 | Pumping Plant Structures and Improvements | 6 | ò | 4 205 20 |
| တ် | 325 | Electric Pumping Equipment | 53,486.00 | 7.59% | 67.000,1 |
| 6. | 328 | Gas Engine Equipment | | | |
| ÷ | 330 | Water Treatment Plant Land | | 7002 | 1 OEE 74 |
| 12. | 331 | Water Treatment Structures and Improvements | 40,761.00 | %6C.7 | 1,000,1 |
| 13. | 332 | Water Treatment Equipment | 126,735.00 | 7.58% | 3,202.44 |
| 4 | 340 | Transmission and Distribution Land | • | 200 | 70 073 |
| 7 | 342 | Storage Tanks | 98,452.00 | 7.59% | 18.840.7 |
| . | 343 | Transmission and Distribution Mains | 35,449.00 | 2.59% | 918.13 |
| 17. | 344 | Fire Sprinkler Taps | | 2.59% | • |
| 8. | 345 | Services | | 7000 | 0000 |
| 19. | 346 | Meters | 12,000.00 | 2.59% | 310.00 |
| 20. | 348 | Hydrants | | | |
| 21. | 389 | General Plant Land | | | |
| 23 | 390 | General Plant Structures | | | |
| 23 | 390.1 | Leasehold improvements | | | |
| 24. | 391 | Office Furniture and Equipment | | | |
| 22 | 393 | Warehouse Equipment | | | |
| 5 6. | 394 | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment | | | |
| 27. | 395 | Laboratory Equipment | | | |
| 78 | 396 | Power Operated Equipment | 00000 | /003 0 | 96 397 |
| 29. | 397 | Communication Equipment | 18,006,00 | 7.59% | 400.30 |
| 30 | 398 | Miscellaneous Equipment | | | 69 690 |
| <u>ج</u> | | Total Plant in Service | 384,889.00 | | 8,800.00 |
| ç | | Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization | (6,968.63) | | (9,968.63) |
| 33 65 | | Net Plant in Service | 374,920.37 | | |
| | | | | | |

Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter - ATFAL #1 Summary Calculation Of Incremental Cost Of Service Filed October 5, 2001

Arizona Water Company
Rimrock System Well #2 Arsenic Treatment Facility
Estimated Incremental Operating Costs & Return

| Amount 199 54ppb | 49,750 52,934 102,684 | 696'6 | | 17,293 | 129,946 99,157 102,684 | 43,566 28,329 (es 71,895 | 158,275 |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description GPM Of Well #2 Raw Arsenic Level | Estimated Annual Variable Costs Treatment @ \$ 250 Disposal @ \$ 266 Total Estimated O&M | Estimated Annual Fixed Costs Depreciation per Facilites Schedule (P3,L31,e) | Property Taxes Increase in Full Cash Value Incremental Revenue Required (P1,L12,b) 201,841 Average 3 Year DOR Revenue (1.5 x L8) 302,761 Two Times Average | Adopted Property Tax Rate Last Decision 2.8559% Incremental Property taxes | Incremental Operating Expenses w/o Income Taxes (L5+L6+L12) incremental Fixed Costs (L6 +L12+L18) incremental Variable Costs (L5) | Incremental Return Requirement & Income Taxes Required Return (P1,L7, b) Income Taxes On Return (39.40338 from decision # 65) Total Incremental Return Requirement & Income Taxes | Total Incremental Operating Expenses (L5+L6+L12+I17) |
| Line 1 | ω 4 π | ဖ | 7 8 9 10 | 11 | 13 | 16 17 | 19 |

Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter - ATFAL #1 Calculation Of Fixed And Variable Arsenic Treatment Facility Charges Filed October 5, 2001

Arizona Water Company Rimrock System Well #2 Arsenic Treatment Facility

| - 0 m | Customer Growth Cust Cust Grost | Srowth Customers Customers Growth | 09/30/00 | 1,054 1,083 2.75% | | | | | |
|-------|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Increment | Incremental Fixed Costs To Be | sts To Be Recover | Recovered Per Bill By Meter Size | Est. Average | Meter | Equivalent | Fixed | Fixed Increment |
| | | Size | 09/30/01 Est.09/ | Est.09/30/2002 | Customers | Multiples | Meters | Monthly | Annual Total |
| | | | ٩ | U | d=(a+b/2) | Φ | (e x p)=j | $g=(L18 \times e)$ | h=(d x g x 12) |
| | | 5/8" "R/S | 1.074 | 1.104 | 1,089 | 1.0 | 1,089 | \$ 7.34 | \$ 95,898.64 |
| • | | 5 = | . KG | 2 | ى · | 2.5 | 13 | \$ 18.35 | \$ 1,116.14 |
| | | ۍ . |) er | က | က | 8.0 | 24 | \$ 58.72 | \$ 2,142.99 |
| D 1 | | ง ก็ |) ' | | • | 15.0 | • | \$ 110.10 | , \$ |
| ~ 0 | | > ₹ | • | 1 | | 25.0 | • | \$ 183.50 | ا د |
| 0 0 | | r & | · • | • | • | 20.0 | | \$ 367.00 | ı \$ |
| D (| | o 8 | | • | | 80.0 | | \$ 587.20 | · • |
| 2 ; | | ° Ę | | • | | 125.0 | • | \$ 917.50 | , \$ |
| = 2 | | Total | 1,082 | 1,112 | 1,097 | | 1,126 | | \$ 99,157.77 |
| ! | | | | | | | | . 1 | |
| | | Fixed Costs To Be | To Be Recove | Recovered Per Bill | - 6 | | • | | |
| 13 | | Depreciation (P3,L31,e) | (P3,L31,e) | | 696'6 | | | | |
| 4 | | Property Taxes (P4,L12) | es (P4,L12) | | | | | | |
| 15 | | Return & Rek | ated income Ta | Return & Related Income Taxes (P1,L10,b) | \$ /1,895 | | | | |
| 16 | | Total Fixe | Total Fixed Costs To Be Recovered | Recovered | \$ 99,157 | | | | |
| | 1000 | 11 - Atrit. Increased Dar Engine | . Moter | Ļ | | | | | |
| 17 | Montany | Equivalent Meters | eters 12 | 1,126 | = 13,509 | | | | |
| | | | | 1011 11 - 1 4611 | 734 | | | | |
| 18 | | Increment P | er Equivalent | Increment Per Equivalent 5/8" Meter (L15/L17) > | | | | | |

Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter - ATFAL #1 Calculation Of Fixed And Variable Arsenic Treatment Facility Charges Filed October 5, 2001

Arizona Water Company Rimrock System Well #2 Treatment Facility

Incremental Variable Costs To Be Recovered Per Mgl

| 1.215 | • | Increment Per Mgi (L21/122) | 23 |
|-------------------------|-----|--|------|
| 102,682.76 84,526.05 | ۰ | Amount To Recover Through Commodity Estimated Mgl Sales | 2 23 |
| (99,157.77) | ક્ક | less: Estimated Recovery of Fixed Costs (L12 above) | 20 |
| 201,840.53 | ₩ | Incremental Revenue Requirement (P1, L25) | 9 |

Estimated Variable Cost Recovery Per Mgl

| Annual | Variable | Increment | d=(L23 x c) | 98,467.85 | 3,041.08 | 1,190.21 | 1 | • | • | • | • | 102,699.14 |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----|-----|----------|----|---------------|------------|
| | | | | ↔ | ↔ | ₩ | ↔ | ↔ | ↔ | ₩ | () | \$ |
| Estimated Mgl | Consumption | Y.E. 9/30/02 | $c=(a \times b \times 12)$ | 81,043.50 | 2,502.95 | 979.60 | • | • | 1 | | • | 84,526.05 |
| | Est. Average | Customers | q | 1,089 | ß | က | ı | • • | | • | • | 1,098 |
| Average Mgl | Consumption | TY 1999 | a | 6.203 | 41.15 | 26.842 | | | | | | |
| | | | | 2/8 | ÷ | 5" | | 4 | . | ∞ | 10. | Total |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 24 | 25 | 92 | 27 | 78 | 53 | 30 | 31 | 32 |

| | 99,157.77 | 102,699.14 | 201,856.91 |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | ₩. | ₩ | \$ |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| very | | | |
| Total Estimated Recovery | Fixed | Variable | Total |
| Total Esti | | | |
| | 33 | 怒 | 35 |

Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter – ATFAL #1 **Revised Rimrock Tariff With Arsenic Treatment Charges**

Filed October 5, 2001

WATER RATES

ARIZONA WATER COMPANY

Phoenix, Arizona

Cancelling A.C.C. No. 408/River Valley Tariff or Schedule No. WG-233

Filed by:

James R. Livingston

Filed:

Title:

President Date of Original Filing: 1-24-02

Effective:

For all service rendered on or

System:

RIMROCK

after January 1, 2002

GENERAL SERVICE

AVAILABILITY:

In Rimrock and environs at all points where facilities of adequate capacity and pressure are adjacent to the premises served.

APPLICATION:

To all water service required when such service is supplied at one premise through one point of delivery and measured through one meter. Not applicable to temporary, standby, supplementary or resale service.

| | | | | | | • | Arsenic Treatment Fixed |
|---------------|-----------------|--------|------------|--------------------|-------|------|-------------------------|
| | . Almino mas de | 40.04 | • | E 10 T 0 (4 B | | Dhan | Charge |
| MONTHLY BILL: | Minimum: \$ | 13.21 | for | $5/8" \times 3/4"$ | meter | Plus | \$ 7.24 |
| | | 22.79 | | 1" | • | • | \$ 18.10 |
| | | 60.08 | | 2" | • | • | \$ 57.92 |
| | | 155.37 | | 3" | | * | \$ 108.60 |
| | | 207.16 | | 4" | | | \$ 181.00 |
| | | 362.53 | × , | 6" | • | . • | \$ 362.00 |
| | | 362.53 | | 8" | | | \$ 579.20 |
| | | 673.27 | • | 10" | • | | \$ 905.00 |

Commodity Rate: \$0.2382 per hundred gallons

Purchased Power Adjustment:

\$(0.002) per hundred gallons for all gallons used per ACC

Decision No. 62755 dated July 25, 2000.

Arsenic Treatment Variable Charge:

\$ 0.1215 per hundred gallons

Adjustment: Plus the applicable proportionate part of any taxes or governmental impositions which are or may in the future be assessed on the basis of the gross revenues of the Company and/or the price or revenue from the water or service sold and/or the volume of water pumped or purchased for sale and/or sold hereunder. In the event of any increase or decrease in taxes or other governmental impositions, rates shall be adjusted to reflect such increase or decrease.

ARSENIC TREATMENT CHARGE

An arsenic treatment charge shall be added to each customers' monthly bill. The purpose of the charge is to recover the costs of constructing and operating new arsenic treatment facilities required to meet EPA's new arsenic standard. The charge has a fixed cost component that is based on meter size (as shown above) and a variable cost component that is based on the customer's water usage (as shown above). The total of the two components is identified on the monthly bill as an ARSENIC TREATMENT CHARGE.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS:

- 1. Subject to the Company's Tariff Schedules SC-252, AM-253 and AFC-
- 2. If service is to be re-established at the same service location for a customer who has there ordered a service disconnection within the preceding 12-month period, or for any member of such customer's household, a non-refundable charge of eight (8) times the customer's monthly minimum charge and the appropriate tax adjustment will be required as a precondition to the establishment of such service. Payment for such charge shall be made at the time of application for reestablishment of service.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

Effective; 1/1/93 Revised; 10/3/94; 8/1/00

Subject to the Company's Tariff Schedule TC-243.

Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter ATFAL #1 Arsenic Balancing Account Illustration

Assume the following entries in the general ledger related to the Well #2 arsenic treatment facility. Well #2 inservice 10/16/01

| 101 MAN | 2 7 |
|----------------|---------------|
| The section of | епесие |
| | Commission |
| | approved by |
| | Š |
| | Advice Letter |
| | Facility / |
| | Treatment |
| A AGH # F | Arsenic |

| 2000 | | | Amount | 28.03 | 51.48 | 47.80 | 44.13 | 38.90 | 32.70 | 27.01 | 26.31 | 21.39 | 20.33 | 26.31 | 34.41 | 32.48 | 27.21 | 25.51 | 29.47 | 37.60 | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| June | | Interest | Rate A | 2.01% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | 1.86% | |
| ancing Acc | | Balance | | 16,734,55 | 33,212.38 | 30,840.14 | 28,470.97 | 25,094.84 | 21.098.05 | 17.428.44 | 16,973.07 | 13,800.48 | 13,118.23 | 16,973.35 | 22,202.83 | 20,957.26 | 17,556.94 | 16,459.07 | 19,010.87 | 24,258.70 | |
| Arsenic Balancing | | Credits | | • | • | (18,251.09) | (18,419,16) | (19,768.40) | (20,816,91) | (21.003.15) | (20,356.71) | (20,280.81) | (17,587.94) | (13,735.13) | (10,991.65) | (18,152.89) | (20,562.72) | (17,832.41) | (13,926.04) | (11.144.44) | |
| | | <u>Peblis</u> | | 16.734.55 | 16.477.84 | 15,878.85 | 16.049.99 | 16.392.27 | 16 820 12 | 17 333 54 | 19.901.34 | 17,108,23 | 16,905,69 | 17,590.25 | 16,221.13 | 16,907.32 | 17.162.40 | 16.734.55 | 16.477.84 | 16 392 27 | |
| | ATFAL | Revenue | | • | • | • | | (19 768 40) | (20.816.91) | (21,010,01) | (20,356.71) | (20,280,81) | (17.587.94) | (13 735 13) | (10,991.65) | (18,152,89) | (20.562.72) | (17.832.41) | (13,926.04) | (11 144 44) | (11,11,11) |
| | Return & | Taxes | | £ 901 33 | 5 001 33 | 5 991 33 | 5 001 33 | 5,001.33 | F 004 22 | 0,391.30 0,433 | 5,391.30 F 001.33 | 5,991.00 7,994.33 | 5 001 33 | 5 991 33 | 5 991 33 | 5 991 33 | 5 001 33 | 5 991 33 | 5,001.00 33 | 5,001.33 | 0,199,0 |
| | Property | Tax Accrual | | 80 177 7 | 80 177 | 24.4 | 444.08 | 8 2 | 3.11 | 0.141.0 | 9.144. | 444.00 | 97.77 | 8.14. | 144108 | 1 441 08 | 90 177 | 441.00 | 444.08 | 90.144.4 | 97.1 |
| Detail | Depreciation | Exnense | | 00.0 | 930.70 | 930.70 | 020.70 | 930.70 | 830.70 | 830.70 | 830.70 | 830.70 | 930.70 | 930.70 | 930.70 | 930.70 | 930.70 | 830.70 | 830.70 | 030.10 | 830.70 |
| : lity Balancing Account Detail | Other O&M | Maintenance | Widh trei ren rec | | | | | | | | | 2,482.23 | 459.25 | | | , | 45.862 | | | | |
| Faci:lity Balar | | 1 | Operation | . | 2,053.68 | 2,139.25 | 2,224.82 | 2,310.39 | 2,395.96 | 2,481.53 | 2,567.10 | 2,652.67 | 2,738.24 | 2,823.81 | 2,053.68 | 2,139.25 | 2,224.82 | 2,310.39 | 2,395.96 | 2,481.53 | 2,567.10 |
| Areanic Treatment Faci | Tion and a | bing | Maintenance | | • | • | 171.14 | ٠ | • | 85.57 | 256.71 | • | • | 171.14 | 427.85 | • | 85.57 | 256.71 | • | • | 171.14 |
| Arean | IDEIV | Pumping | Operation | | 2,053.68 | 2,139.25 | 1,711.40 | 1,796.97 | 1,882.54 | 1,968.11 | 2,053.68 | 2,139.25 | 1,711.40 | 1,796.97 | 2,139.25 | 1,968.11 | 2,053.68 | 2,139.25 | 1,711.40 | 1,796.97 | 1.882.54 |
| | | atment | Aaintenance | | 1,454.69 | 941.27 | 1,026.84 | 1,112.41 | 1,197.98 | 1,283.55 | 1,369.12 | 1,454.69 | 941.27 | 1,026.84 | 1,540.26 | 1,197.98 | 1,283.55 | 1,369.12 | 1,454.69 | 941.27 | 1 026 84 |
| | | Water Treatmen | Operation | | 2,909.38 | 2,994.95 | 2,481.53 | 2.567.10 | 2.652.67 | 2.738.24 | 2.823.81 | 2.909.38 | 2,994.95 | 2,823.81 | 3,166.09 | 2,652.67 | 2,738.24 | 2,823.81 | 2.909.38 | 2.994.95 | 2 /81 53 |
| | | | Month | | 10/01/2001 | 11/01/2001 | 12/01/2001 | 01/01/2002 | 02/01/2002 | 03/01/2002 | 04/01/2002 | 05/01/2002 | 06/01/2002 | 07/01/2002 | 08/01/2002 | 09/01/2002 | 10/01/2002 | 11/01/2002 | 12/01/2002 | 01/01/2003 | 000040000 |

Arizona Corporation Commission

Acknowledgement Form

| To: Util | ity Representative Fax # 520-999-1111 |
|----------|---|
| From: A | CC Staff Representative Fax # 602-242-9999 |
| Date: | 00/00/00 |
| Subject: | ATFAL # Submitted:/_/ |
| The pur | pose of the fax is: |
| | To acknowledge receipt of your □ Proposed □ Final Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter by the Director of Utilities on: 00/00/00 |
| | To request the attached information in support of your Proposed/Final Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter. |
| | To notify you that the Chief, Accounting & Rates (initial) has authorized suspension of your filing for days because: |
| | |
| | |
| | To report satisfactory completion of the review of your Proposed/Final Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter on: 00/00/00 |
| | Please notify your customers and submit the Final Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter |
| | To report that your Proposed/Final Arsenic Treatment Facility Advice Letter □ will not be acted upon and is being returned □ has been rejected for the following reasons. (initial |

Arizona Corporation Commission

| | Arsenic Advi | ce Letter # |
|-------------|--|--|
| | Date | :// |
| | | |
| То: | ☐ Director Of Utilities ☐ ACC Staff Representative | Fax # 602-242-9999 Email: |
| From: | Utility | Fax # 520-999-1111 |
| | System Utility Representative | Email: |
| Subj: | ATFAL# | |
| | Proposed | Final Balancing Account |
| Last G | eneral Rate Increase: Docket No | o Date:// |
| of under | , which is a | nic treatment facility rate increase (decrease)% increase (decrease) over revenues hecked exhibits are attached in support of this will be rejected. |
| | | |
| | Standard Worl | kpaper Requirements |
| | Index Of Exhibits | |
| 1 | Summary Calculation Of Requ | uested Revenue Increase Or Decrease |
| 2 | Summary Calculation Of Adju | sted Rate Base |
| 3 | Detail Of Arsenic Treatment F | acilites By Plant Account |
| 4 | Summary Calculation Of Incre | emental Cost Of Service |
| 5 | Calculation Of Fixed And Vari | able Arsenic Treatment Facility Charges |
| 6 | Revised Tariff With ATFAL Ch | arges |
| 7 | Financial Statements for the n date of this filing | nost recent 12- month period prior to the |
| | | |
| | Sufficie | ncy Comments |
| 1.0 | Cullivie | ing Commonw |
| 1 | Format & Content: | |
| 2 | Tariff Sheets: | |
| 3 | Notice: | |
| 4 | Acknowledgement Form | |

the Standard Workpapers in the following order with each work paper sheet consecutively numbered:

- (1) Index Of Exhibits listing all the sheets by title and sheet number,
- (2) Summary Calculation Of Requested Incremental Revenue Increase or Decrease,
- (3) Summary Calculation Of Adjusted Rate Base,
- (4) Detail Of Arsenic Treatment Facilities By Plant Account,
- (5) Summary Calculation Of Incremental Cost Of Service,
- (6) Calculation Of Fixed And Variable Arsenic Treatment Facility Charges,
- (7) Revised Tariff Sheets with Arsenic Treatment Facility Charges.
- c. Utilities may also submit electronically by sending the submittal to utilities_division@cc.az.state.us or by facsimile to (602) 542-????. If requested utilities shall follow up the electronic filing with the hard-copy filings described above.
- 8. The receiving Staff person stamps the date and time of receipt of each ATFAL submittal and reviews the filing package for sufficiency:
 - a. Conformance with these procedures, including format and completeness of contents.
 - b. Proper tariff sheet numbering.
 - c. Adequate notice. The final ATFAL will not be accepted for filing until the notice has been published or the last bill insert notice has been mailed.
 - d. One copy of the final ATFAL will be stamped with the date filed and faxed to the utility. A stamped copy will be mailed to the utility.

- 9. If the ATFAL materials are not sufficient for filing, the receiving Staff person informs the Chief, Accounting & Rates and requests changes/additions from the utility. After being accepted as sufficient for filing, the receiving Staff person does the following:
 - a. Enters a record of the filing in the ATFAL docket filing system.
 - b. Enters any required data in an ATFAL database (if such system is established).
 - c. Posts a notice in the daily calendar that the ATFAL has been filed.
 - d. Faxes and mails a copy of the ATFAL to the utility stamped with the date filed.
- 10. The receiving Staff person prepares the ATFAL for review by doing the following:
 - a. Fills out the lower Sufficiency Comments Section of the ATFAL, noting the review comments as directed on the form.
 - b. Routes the folder with Sufficiency Comments to the Chief, Accounting & Rates, who assigns the filing to an analyst and informs Docketing of the analyst assignment.
 - c. Files the second folder in the "pending" file of active ATFALs alphabetically by company.
- 11. Every ATFAL must be reviewed by a Staff analyst. Such review includes comparison of the request with the Commission decision or order authorizing the ATFAL; the correctness of filed rates, sufficiency and correctness of work papers and attachments; conformance with Commission policy and procedures; and consideration of public responses. A Staff Report and Recommended Order will be prepared for each ATFAL reviewed.
 - a. If the ATFAL does not have to be placed on the Open Meeting Agenda because the Commission has already made clear what the standards of review should be, the analyst forwards the Staff Report and Recommended Order to the Director Of Utilities through the Chief, Accounting and Rates for action.
 - b. If the ATFAL requires Commissioner review, the analyst forwards the Staff Report and Recommended Order to the Director Of Utilities through the Chief, Accounting and Rates for placement on the Open Meeting Agenda.

- 12. If additional time is required to properly review an ATFAL because the ATFAL is incomplete, does not include sufficient information to determine the impact of the ATFAL on rates, or the complexity of the ATFAL (and supporting information) requires more time for analysis, the analyst may, with the approval of the Chief, Accounting and Rates fax a multipurpose Acknowledgement Form to the utility, prior to the 30 day deadline, explaining the need for delay and extending the time for processing from 30 days to up to 120 days, and to Docketing, which will notice the delay on the Commission's Daily Filing Calendar. The analyst must include: 1) the new due date and 2) the grounds for the extension.
- 13. If an arsenic ATFAL requires a decision by the Commissioners at an Open Meeting, it should occur within 150 days of filing, but if disposition due to unusual circumstances will take longer, the analyst must submit a Staff Report explaining the request to the Director of Utilities, who will either deny the request or place it on the agenda for the next Open Meeting.

D-ORDERS

- 14. Orders are required for all approved ATFALs. The Commissioners may establish standards of review and delegate the approval of certain types of ATFALs under specified conditions to the Director of Utilities.
- 15. The Staff analyst drafts the Order following the Commission's general procedures. The contents of the Order depends on the situation and what the ATFAL is requesting, but there are certain elements that all Orders must contain:
 - 1. Title a description of what the Order does. It starts with the Order number, the full name of the utility, and the abbreviation of the name, in parentheses, that will be used in the Order.
 - Summary a one or two paragraph description of what the Order recommends. Finish
 with a description of the number or service connections and the location of the service
 area affected.
 - 3. Background the events that occurred in the past that led to the filing of the ATFAL and a description of what the ATFAL is requesting.

- 4. Discussion a logical and complete evaluation of the merits of each part of the ATFAL and whether and why each part should be approved, modified or rejected. If a request is to be approved, describe what the utility needs to do.
- 5. Notice a description of how the ATFAL was noticed and whether any public comments were received and how they were handled.
- 6. Findings and Conclusions facts that pertain to the situation and results of the discussion for each issue.
- 7. Ordering Paragraphs actions that the utility is allowed to or is ordered to take, along with timeframes and deadlines. The final ordering paragraph is the effective date of the Order. Normally an Order will be effective the first of the month following approval.
- 16. The final Order should be mailed to the service list of the ATFAL and, if the Order modifies actions taken by another Order or decision, the service list of that proceeding or Order.

E - ADVICE LETTER APPROVAL

- 17. After the ATFAL is approved, the Tariff Unit does the following:
 - a. Stamps all tariff sheets with the date filed and date effective, places the tariff sheets in the tariff book.
 - b. Puts the original copy of the ATFAL in the company's ATFAL file.
 - c. Prepares a transmittal letter and sends it to the utility, along with the Order and a complete copy of the ATFAL with all tariff sheets stamped with the filed date, effective date and Order number.
 - d. Retrieves thew work file folder from the analyst (if necessary) and puts it in the work file filing cabinet alphabetically by company. Work files are kept until the next general rate case.

F - WITHDRAWAL, REJECTION, AND SUSPENSION OF ATFALS

- 18. The utility may withdraw a filed ATFAL, by informing the Director of Utilities by letter, fax or e-mail prior to the effective date.
- 19. If the Staff analyst determines that an ATFAL should be rejected, a copy of the multipurpose Acknowledgement Form is prepared, the appropriate box is checked and the reason for rejection is explained. The Chief, Accounting & Rates will review the rejection explanation and if he agrees he is to initial the form and have it faxed to the utility with the explanation that the rejection can be appealed within ten days to the Director of the Utilities Division. If appealed, the effective date will be determined by the Director of the Utilities Division. If not appealed, the effective date will be the day after the last date for appeal.
- 20. The legal effect of withdrawal, rejection or suspension is to leave the existing tariff schedules in effect. If the ATFAL is withdrawn or rejected, the Tariff Unit stamps the face of the ATFAL and each tariff sheet "Withdrawn" or "Rejected" and the date, and returns a copy of the ATFAL to the utility with a letter explaining the reasons for the action.
- 21. The Tariff Unit retains the original copy of the withdrawn or rejected tariff sheets in the file of cancelled tariffs. The ATFAL number and tariff sheet numbers are not reused. Any revised tariff sheets, submitted by a subsequent ATFAL should show the cancellation of the then-effective tariff sheets, not the sheet number of the rejected or withdrawn sheet, since the latter never became effective and therefore cannot be cancelled.

Arizona Corporation Commission

| | Alsellic Au | · | |
|------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Da . | te:// | |
| | | | |
| To: | □Director Of Utilities | Fax # 602- | 242-9999 |
| 10. | ☐ ACC Staff Representative | Email: | 2-72-0000 |
| | LI ACC Stall Representative | Linaii. | |
| - | 1 14:10:4 | E # 500 0 | 00.4444 |
| From: | Utility | Fax # 520-9 | 99-1111 |
| | System | | |
| | Utility Representative | Email: | |
| | | | DA . |
| Subj: | ATFAL # | | |
| | | | |
| | Proposed | Final | ☐ Balancing Account |
| _ | | | |
| Loot G | General Rate Increase: Docket I | No | Date:// |
| Last | eneral Rate Increase. Docket i | NO | Date// |
| | • | | |
| | | | |
| | | | facility rate increase (decrease) |
| of | , which is a | % incre | ease (decrease) over revenues |
| | | | its are attached in support of this |
| | st. Requests without Exhibits 1 - | | |
| reque | st. Nequests without Exhibits 1 | 7 Will De Tojeo | |
| | | | ** |
| | | | |
| | Standard Wo | rkpaper Requ | uirements |
| | Index Of Exhibits | | : |
| | | | |
| 1 | Summary Calculation Of Rec | nuceted Pover | ue Incresse Or Decresse |
| • | Summary Calculation Of Rec | questeu Nevel | ide liiciease Oi Declease |
| _ | | | |
| 2 | Summary Calculation Of Adj | justed Rate Ba | se |
| | • • • | | |
| 3 | Detail Of Arsenic Treatment | Facilites By Pi | lant Account |
| | | | |
| 4 | Summary Calculation Of Inc | remental Cost | Of Service |
| | | | |
| 5 | Calculation Of Fixed And Va | riable Arsenic | Treatment Facility Charges |
| J , | Calculation Of Fixed And Va | nable Alcomo | Trouble rubinty Onlarges |
| • | D ATEAL O | Na | |
| . 6 | Revised Tariff With ATFAL C | narges | |
| | | | |
| 7 | Financial Statements for the | most recent 1 | 2- month period prior to the |
| | date of this filing | | |
| | - · · | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 0.40-1 | anau Camman | |
| | Suffici | ency Commen | 113 |
| | | | |
| 1 | Format & Content: | | |
| | | | |
| 2 | Tariff Sheets: | | |
| | | | |
| 3 | Notice: | | |
| . 3 | HOUG. | | |
| | *A | | |
| 4 | Acknowledgement Form | | |